



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.            | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/059,059                 | 01/28/2002      | Silvia Allegro       | 34369               | 7343             |
| 116 7590 11/02/2005        |                 |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|                            | GORDON LLP      |                      | ABEBE, DANIE        | L DEMELASH       |
| 1801 EAST 9T<br>SUITE 1200 | TH STREET       |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| CLEVELAND                  | , OH 44114-3108 |                      | 2655                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  |   | 10/059,059   | ALLEGRO, SILVIA  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | Daniel D. Abebe  | 2655   |  |  |  |  |
|  | - The MAILING DATE of this communication app  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| Period fo  |   |  | İ  |  |  |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exten<br>after:<br>- If NO<br>- Failur<br>Any n  | DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim (ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)□  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on   | _•   |  |  |  |  |  |
| •  | ·   | action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3)□  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | on of Claims  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠  | Claim(s) 1-23 and 25-42 is/are pending in the a   | application.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5)⊠  | Claim(s) 3-10,13-18,25-35,37,38 and 42 is/are   | allowed.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠  | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,11,12 and 19-23</u> is/are rejected.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7)⊠  | 7) Claim(s) 36 and 39-41 is/are objected to.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8)□  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | on Papers   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)□ -  | The specification is objected to by the Examiner  | г.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)  | 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) 🗌 🗀  | 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority u   | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -  | 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a)L  | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents   |  | on No  |  |  |  |  |
|  | <ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | application from the International Bureau   | ·  | of IT this National Stage  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.                               |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment   | (e)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| _  | e of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary   | (PTO-413)  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) 🔲 Notice  | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da  | ate  |  |  |  |  |
|  | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date  | 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:  | atent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |
| . 4001   |   | ,  |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/059,059

Art Unit: 2655

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1, 2, 11, 12,19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Peter et al. (WO 01 76321).

As to claims 1-2, Peter al. teach the method of classifying a acoustic environment, including a microphone for receiving audio input, extracting feature vectors, using feature vectors extracted from an input signal and where the classifying means are adapted to process the feature vectors to determine the class of the acoustic environment (page 4, lines 1-5).

As to claims 11-12, post processing is common in signal processing and is inherent in peter, for ensuring the result.

As to claim 19, Peter al. teach where the classifier is HMM (Fig.3).

As to claims 21 and 23, the extracted feature in Peter al. represent auditory model.

As to claim 22, peter et al. teach where the hearing aid parameters are adjusted according to the result obtained by the classifier (abstract).

#### Claims Objected

Claims 36, 39-41 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to

Application/Control Number: 10/059,059

Art Unit: 2655

cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The claims improperly depend on more than one claims.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-10,13-18, 25-35, 37, 38 and 42 are allowed.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 6/17/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. According to Peter a method for assessing and classifying an acoustic environment by a hearing aid device, where feature vectors representing the acoustic environment are generated and classified is disclosed, see (page 4, 1-25). Therefore the rejection of claim 1 and the corresponding dependent claims is maintained.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/059,059

examiner can normally be reached on monday-friday.

Art Unit: 2655

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel D Abebe whose telephone number is 703-308-5543. The

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne Young can be reached on 571-272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel Abebe Primary Examiner A.U. 2655

October 26, 2005